## Spring prelim answers

1.List all the finite fields (up to isomorphism) of order less than or equal to 10. Show that the ones you list exist, and no others.

Solution: There is a unique finite field of each prime power order, so: 2,3,4,5,7,8,9. We show: (1) the order must be a prime power  $q = p^k$ ; (2) a field of each prime power cardinality q exists; and (3) it is unique.

(1) Let F be a finite field and  $\mathbf{1} \in F$  the unit element. Since F is finite there is a positive integer c such that  $c\mathbf{1} = 0 \in F$ . If c = ab then  $0 = c\mathbf{1} = a\mathbf{1}b\mathbf{1}$  so  $a\mathbf{1} = 0$  or  $b\mathbf{1} = 0$ . So the smallest such c must be a prime p, and F is then a vector space over the prime field  $F_p$ , hence its cardinality is a power of p.

(2) Conversely, let  $\overline{F}$  be an algebraic closure of  $F_p$ . If  $q = p^k$ , the set

$$\{x \in \overline{F} | x^q = x\}$$

is closed under addition (since  $x^q + y^q = (x + y)^q$ ), multiplication and inversion, so it is a subfield  $F_q$ . Since the polynomial  $x^q - x$  has no repeated roots, the cardinality of  $F_q$  is q.

(3) Since any filed of cardinality q is the set of elements in its algebraic closure satisfying  $x^q = x$ , the uniqueness of the algebraic closure implies uniqueness of  $F_q$ .

2. (a) In the polynomial ring  $\mathbf{Z}[x]$ , is the ideal generated by  $x^4 - 1$  and  $2x^3 - 2x$  principal?

- (b) Same question in the polynomial ring  $\mathbf{Q}[x]$ .
- (c) Same question in the polynomial rings  $\mathbf{Z}[x, y]$  and  $\mathbf{Q}[x, y]$ .

Solution: (a) No: such a generator must be of the form  $(x^2-1)f(x)$ , and then f(x) must generate the ideal  $I := (x^2 + 1, 2x)$ , in particular f(x) must divide  $x^2 + 1$  and 2x, so it must be invertible, but I is non trivial, since it is contained in the kernel of the surjective homomorphism  $\mathbf{Z}[x] \to \mathbf{Z}/(2)$  sending x to 1. (b) Yes, in  $\mathbf{Q}[x]$  we can take the above f(x)to equal 1, since  $1 = 1(x^2 + 1) - (\frac{x}{2})2x) \in (x^2 + 1, 2x)$ . (c) No change. (The analogue of (b) is trivial, and for (a) send y to 0.)

3. Use the  $\varepsilon$ - $\delta$  definition to prove that the first derivative of  $f(x) = x^3$  is  $f'(x) = 3x^2$ .

Solution: We must show that for any given  $x_0$  and for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $0 < |x - x_0| < \delta$  then  $\left| \frac{x^3 - x_0^3}{x - x_0} - 3x_0^2 \right| < \varepsilon$ . An easy computation shows that:

$$\left| \frac{x^3 - x_0^3}{x - x_0} - 3x_0^2 \right| = \left| (x^2 + x_0 x + x_0^2) - 3x_0^2 \right| = \left| x^2 - x_0^2 + x_0 x - x_0^2 \right| \le \\
\leq \left| x^2 - x_0^2 \right| + \left| x_0 \right| \left| x - x_0 \right| \le \left| x - x_0 \right| \left| x + x_0 \right| + \left| x_0 \right| \left| x - x_0 \right| \le \\
\leq \left| x - x_0 \right| (\left| x - x_0 \right| + 2\left| x_0 \right|) + \left| x_0 \right| \left| x - x_0 \right|, \quad (1)$$

where the last inequality comes from  $|x + x_0| \le |x| + |x_0| \le (|x - x_0| + |x_0|) + |x_0| = |x - x_0| + 2|x_0|$ , since  $||x| - |x_0|| \le |x - x_0|$  by the triangle inequality. Thus, choosing any

$$0 < \delta < \frac{-3|x_0| + \sqrt{9|x_0|^2 + 4\varepsilon}}{2},$$

it follows that  $\delta(\delta + 2|x_0|) + |x_0|\delta = \delta^2 + 3|x_0|\delta < \varepsilon$  and hence by (1), the desired inequality  $\left|\frac{x^3 - x_0^3}{x - x_0} - 3x_0^2\right| < \varepsilon$  holds whenever  $0 < |x - x_0| < \delta$ .

4. Prove that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $\varepsilon_k > 0$  such that all  $n \times n$  matrices A with  $||A - \mathrm{Id}|| < \varepsilon_k$  have a  $k^{th}$  root, that is, an  $n \times n$  matrix  $\sqrt[k]{A}$  such that  $(\sqrt[k]{A})^k = A$ .

Solution: Identify the vector space of  $n \times n$  matrices with  $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ , and consider the map  $f(A) = A^k$ . The coordinates of this map are smooth functions (polynomial) and hence f is smooth. The linearization of f at the identity matrix Id is given by

$$\mathrm{d}f(\mathrm{Id})X = kX, \qquad X \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2}.$$

In particular, df(Id) is invertible, hence by the Inverse Function Theorem, f is locally invertible near  $Id \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ . Thus, there exists  $\varepsilon_k > 0$  such that if  $||A - Id|| < \varepsilon_k$ , then  $\sqrt[k]{A} := f^{-1}(A)$  is a  $k^{th}$  root of A.

5. Let N be a positive integer. Prove that

$$\frac{1}{2} + \log N < \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{k} \le 1 + \log N.$$

Solution: This is a standard problem which appears in many calculus books. A partial sum of the harmonic series can be viewed geometrically as the sum of the areas of boxes of width one and height  $1, 1/2, 1/3, \ldots, 1/N$ , which can be approximated by the area under the curve  $y = \ln x$  from 1 to N. The difference is the sum of the areas of a bunch of slivers of width 1 above the graph of y = 1/x, together with the last box of height 1/N. If you slide all these slivers left, they all fit in a box of width 1 and height 1, and the concavity of the graph shows the sum of the areas of the slivers is larger than 1/2. See for example the picture on p. 595 of Thomas' Calculus, applied to the case  $f(x) = \ln x$ .

6. For n a positive integer, let  $\phi(n)$  denote the number of integers  $k, 1 \leq k < n$ , which are relatively prime to n. Prove that

$$\phi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} (1 - \frac{1}{p}),$$

where the product is over all distinct primes p dividing n.

Solution: We use a standard inclusion-exclusion argument. The number of integers relatively prime to n and between 1 and n is the number of integers between 1 and n (there are n of these), minus all multiples of p for each p dividing n (there are n/p of these), plus all multiples of pq for all pairs of distinct primes p, q dividing n (there are n/(pq) of these), etc., or

$$n - \sum_{p|n} n/p + \sum_{p < q \atop p, q|n} n/(pq) - \ldots = n \prod_{p|n} (1 - 1/p).$$

7. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & -3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Find an orthonormal basis of the column space of A.

Solution: Let  $a_j$  denote the *j*-th column vector of A. Let  $v_1 = a_1$  and

$$v_2 = a_2 - \frac{a_2 \cdot v_1}{\|v_1\|} v_1 = (2, 0, -1, 1)^T.$$

Let

$$v_3 = a_3 - \frac{a_3 \cdot v_1}{\|v_1\|} v_1 - \frac{a_3 \cdot v_2}{\|v_2\|} v_2 = (-1, 1, 0, 2)^T.$$

Then an orthonormal basis is

$$\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(1,1,2,0)^T, \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(2,0,-1,1)^T, \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(-1,1,0,2)^T\}$$

8. Let A be a  $n \times n$  matrix. Let  $\{S_1, \ldots, S_k\}$  be a collection of eigenvectors of A with  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$  as the corresponding eigenvalues. Prove that if  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$  for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ , then  $\{S_1, \ldots, S_k\}$  is linearly independent.

Solution: Suppose there are constants  $\{c_j\}_{1 \le j \le k}$  such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j S_j = 0.$$

For  $1 \leq l \leq k$ , define

$$B_l = \prod_{1 \le j \le k, j \ne l} (A - \lambda_j I).$$

Then

$$0 = B_l \sum_{j=1}^k c_j S_j = c_l \prod_{1 \le j \le k, j \ne l} (\lambda_l - \lambda_j) S_l$$

Since  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$  for all  $i \neq j$ ,  $c_l = 0$  for all  $1 \leq l \leq k$ .

9. Let (X,d) be a compact metric space. Suppose that  $f: X \to X$  satisfies d(f(x), f(y) < d(x, y) for  $x \neq y$ . Show that for any  $x \in X$ , the sequence defined by  $x_0 = x$  and  $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$  converges to a unique fixed point of f.

Solution: If there were two fixed points x and y, then d(x, y) < d(x, y), so there is at most one. The function d(f(x), x) is continuous and so achieves a minimum at some point y. If the minimum is not zero, then  $f(y) \neq y$ , in which case  $d(f(y), f^2(y))$  is smaller, a contradiction. Therefore it is zero, i.e. f(y) = y.

The same thing applies to the closure A of the set of points  $\{x_1, ..., x_n, ...\}$ , as this closure is also compact and invariant under f. So, from above, the restriction of f to A will have a fixed point. Hence  $y \in A$ . Therefore, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists N such that  $d(x_N, y) < \epsilon$ . For m > N,

$$d(x_m, y) < d(x_{m-1}, y) < \dots < d(x_{N+1}, y) < d(x_N, y) < \epsilon$$
.

Thus the sequence converges to y.

10. A topological property is one that is invariant under homeomorphism, i.e. if two spaces are homeomorphic and one has the property, so does the other. Explain with a proof or counterexample which of the following properties of a metric space are or are not topological invariants: a. Compactness, b. Connectedness, c. Boundedness d. Completeness.

Solution. a. If  $f: X \to Y$  is continuous and X is compact, so is f(Y). Namely, for any collection  $\mathfrak{A}$  of open sets covering f(X), the sets  $f^{-1}(U)$ ,  $U \in \mathfrak{A}$  cover X. Therefore, a finite set of these,  $f^{-1}U_1, \ldots, f^{-1}U_n$  also cover X; therefore  $f(X) \subset U_1 \cup \ldots \cup U_n$ . So as each of two homeomorphic spaces are the image of the other under a continuous map, if one is compact so is the other.

b. Similarly, it suffices to show that if  $f: X \to Y$  is continuous and X is connected, so is f(X). If f(X) is the disjoint union of two non-empty open sets, then the inverse images will be two non-empty disjoint open sets whose union os all of X. c. Not a topological invariant. For example, (0, 1) and  $\mathbb{R}$  with the usual metric are homeomorphic. Alternatively, if (X, d) is a metric space, and  $d'(x, y) = \frac{d(x, y)}{1+d(x, y)}$ , then (X, d) and (X.d') have the same topology, i.e. the identity map is a homeomorphism, and  $d'(x, y) \leq 1$ .

d.  $\mathbb{R}$  is complete but (0,1) is not.

11. Suppose  $f : [-1,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous on the closed interval [-1,1], and twice differentiable on the open interval (-1,1). Suppose also that f(-1) = 7, f(0) = 1 and f(1) = 1. Prove that there exists  $c \in (-1,1)$  such that  $f^{(2)}(c) = 6$ .

Solution: The (unique) polynomial of degree two that passes through those points is

$$p(x) = 3x^2 - 3x + 1.$$

It follows that the function f - p vanishes at -1, 0 and 1. Applying Rolle's Theorem twice, it follows that there exists a point  $c \in (-1, 1)$  such that the second derivative of f - p vanishes at c. Since the second derivative of p is constant equal to 6, it follows that  $f^{(2)}(c) = 6$ .

12. Compute the following limit if it exists and justify your conclusion:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^1 (n+1) x^n (1-x^5)^{\frac{1}{5}} \, dx.$$

Solution: Remark that the function  $(1-x^5)^{\frac{1}{5}}$  is continuous on [0,1] and equal to zero at x = 1. It follows that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|(1-x^5)^{\frac{1}{5}}| = (1-x^5)^{\frac{1}{5}} < \varepsilon/2$  for  $x \in [1-\delta,1]$ . On the other hand if  $x \in [0,1-\delta]$ , then  $0 \le (n+1)x^n \le (n+1)(1-\delta)^n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . It follows that there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(n+1)(1-\delta)^n < \varepsilon/2$ , for every  $n \ge N$ . It follows that

$$\begin{split} 0 &\leq \int_0^1 (n+1)x^n (1-x^5)^{\frac{1}{5}} \, dx = \int_0^{1-\delta} (n+1)x^n (1-x^5)^{\frac{1}{5}} \, dx + \int_{1-\delta}^1 (n+1)x^n (1-x^5)^{\frac{1}{5}} \, dx \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{1-\delta}^1 (n+1)x^n \, dx \leq \varepsilon, \end{split}$$

for every  $n \ge N$ . So we proved that the limit is zero.