# Math 600: Integration on Chains and Stoke's Theorem

Ryan Blair

University of Pennsylvania

Tuesday November 9, 2010

Ryan Blair (U Penn)

Math 600: Integration on Chains and Stoke's Tuesday November 9, 2010 1 / 17

# Outline



### Integration on Chains

- In Euclidean Space
- Stoke's Theorem in Euclidean Space
- Green's Theorem
- Divergence Theorem

-

## Integration on Chains in Euclidean Space

The subset  $[0,1]^k \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  is the **standard unit cube** in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .

Let U be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A singular k-cube in U is a continuous map  $c : [0,1]^k \to U$ .

A singular 0-cube in U is, in effect, just a point of U, and a singular 1-cube in U is a parametrized curve in U.

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ □ ● ● ● ●

The standard (singular) k-cube  $I^k : [0,1]^k \to \mathbb{R}^k$  is the inclusion map of the standard unit cube.

A (singular) k-chain in U is a formal finite sum of singular k-cubes in U with integer coefficients, such as

$$2c_1 + 3c_2 - 4c_3$$
.

It is clear how k-chains in U can be added and multiplied by integers.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

For each singular k-chain c in U we will define a singular k-1 chain in U called the **boundary** of c and denoted by  $\partial(c)$ .

We begin by defining the boundary of the standard k-cube  $I^k : [0,1]^k \to \mathbb{R}^k$ .

For each *i* with  $1 \leq i \leq k$  we define two singular k-1 cubes,  $I_{(i,0)}^k : [0,1]^{k-1} \to [0,1]^k \subset \mathbb{R}^k \ I_{(i,1)}^k : [0,1]^{k-1} \to [0,1]^k \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ , as follows.

$$I_{(i,0)}^{k}(x^{1},...,x^{k-1}) = (x^{1},...,x^{i-1},0,x^{i},...,x^{k-1})$$
$$I_{(i,1)}^{k}(x^{1},...,x^{k-1}) = (x^{1},...,x^{i-1},1,x^{i},...,x^{k-1})$$

・帰り イヨト イヨト 三日

We call  $I_{(i,0)}^k$  the (i,0)-face of  $I^k$  and  $I_{(i,1)}^k$  the (i,1)-face. of  $I^k$ . Then we define

$$\partial(I^k) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{\alpha=0,1} (-1)^{i+\alpha} I^k_{(i,\alpha)}.$$

If  $c : [0,1]^k \to U$  is a singular k-cube in U, we define its  $(i, \alpha)$ -face by  $c(i, \alpha) = c \circ I_{(i,\alpha)}^k$ , and then define

$$\partial(c) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{\alpha=0,1} (-1)^{i+\alpha} c(i, \alpha).$$

We extend the definition of boundary to *k*-chains by linearity:  $\partial(\Sigma a_i c_i) = \Sigma a_i \partial(c_i).$ 

・ 同 ト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト ・ 日

### **Fact:** If c is a k-chain in U, show that $\partial(\partial c) = 0$ . Briefly, $\partial^2 = 0$ .

(日) (周) (三) (三)

Now suppose that U is an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , that c is a k-chain in U, and that  $\omega$  is a differential k-form on U. We want to define the integral  $\int_c \omega$  of  $\omega$  over c, and do this in several steps.

First suppose that  $\omega$  is a differential k-form on the unit k-cube  $[0,1]^k$  in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Then

$$\omega = f(x^1, ..., x^k) dx^1 \wedge ... \wedge dx^k.$$

In that case we define

$$\int_{[0,1]^k} \omega = \int_{[0,1]^k} f = \int_{[0,1]^k} f(x^1, ..., x^k) dx^1 ... dx^k.$$

If  $\omega$  is a differential k-form on the open set U in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $c : [0,1]^k \to U$  is a singular k-cube in U, we define

$$\int_c \omega = \int_{[0,1]^k} c^* \omega.$$

In other words, integration of a k-form over a singular k-cube is defined by pulling the k-form back to the unit k-cube in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and then doing ordinary integration.

In the special case that k = 0, a 0-form  $\omega$  on U is a real-valued function on U, and a singular 0-cube is a map  $c : \{0\} \to U$  of a point into U. So we define

$$\int_{c}\omega=\omega(c(0)).$$

Ryan Blair (U Penn)

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

Finally, the integral of a k-form  $\omega$  on U over a singular k-chain  $c = \sum a_i c_i$  is defined by

$$\int_{c} \omega = \Sigma a_{i} \int_{c_{i}} \omega.$$

#### Theorem

**Stokes' Theorem.** Let U be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\omega$  a differential k - 1 form on U, and c a singular k-chain on U. Then

$$\int_{c} d\omega = \int_{\partial c} \omega.$$

• • = • • = •

#### Theorem

**Green's Theorem.** Let U be a compact region in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  bounded by finitely many smooth, simple closed curves.

Let u(x, y) and v(x, y) be smooth functions on U. Then

$$\int_{\partial(U)} u(x,y) dx + v(x,y) dy = \int_U (\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} dx dy.$$

**Proof.** Let c be a singular 2-chain which covers the region U, so that  $\partial(c)$  covers  $\partial(U)$ . There is some subtlety in proving the existence of c, but we will deal with this at a later time.

Let 
$$\omega = u(x, y) dx + v(x, y) dy$$
. Then  
$$d\omega = \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right) dx \wedge dy.$$

So Green's Theorem states that

$$\int_{\partial c} \omega = \int_{c} d\omega,$$

which is just a special case of Stokes' Theorem.

#### Theorem

**Divergence Theorem.** Let U be a compact region in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  bounded by finitely many smooth surfaces. Let n be the outward pointing unit normal vector field along  $\partial(U)$ . Let V be a differentiable vector field on U. Then

$$\int_{U} \nabla \bullet V \ d(vol) = \int_{\partial(U)} V \bullet \mathbf{n} \ d(area).$$

**Proof.** In words, the integral of the divergence of V over the region U equals the flux of V through its boundary. Let

$$V = u(x, y, z)\mathbf{i} + v(x, y, z)\mathbf{j} + w(x, y, z)\mathbf{k}$$

and

$$\mathbf{n} = n_x(x, y, z)\mathbf{i} + n_y(x, y, z)\mathbf{j} + n_z(x, y, z)\mathbf{k}.$$

通 ト イヨト イヨト

Then 
$$\int_U \nabla \bullet Vd(vol) = \int_U \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} dx dy dz$$
  
 $\int_{\partial(U)} V \bullet \mathbf{n}d(area) = \int_{\partial(U)} (un_x + vn_y + wn_z) d(area).$   
Now define a 2-form  $\omega$  on  $U$  by

$$\omega = u(x, y, z)dy \wedge dz + v(x, y, z)dz \wedge dx + w(x, y, z)dx \wedge dy.$$
  
Then  $d\omega = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}\right) dx \wedge dy \wedge dz.$ 

Thus

$$\int_U \nabla \bullet V d(\textit{vol}) = \int_c d\omega,$$

where c is a singular 3-chain that covers the region U so that  $\partial c$  covers  $\partial U$ , as in Green's Theorem.

#### Fact:

$$(un_x + vn_y + wn_z)d(area) = udy \wedge dz + vdz \wedge dx + wdx \wedge dy.$$

A D A D A D A

Using the result of the above problem, we have that

$$\int_{\partial U} V \bullet \mathbf{n} d(area) = \int_{\partial U} (un_x + vn_y + wn_z) d(area)$$
$$= \int_{\partial c} (udy \wedge dz + vdz \wedge dx + wdx \wedge dy)$$
$$= \int_{\partial c} \omega.$$

So the Divergence Theorem,

$$\int_U \nabla \bullet V d(vol) = \int_{\partial U} V \bullet \mathsf{n} d(area),$$

is a special case of Stokes' Theorem,

$$\int_{c} d\omega = \int_{\partial c} \omega.$$

E 6 4 E 6

#### Theorem

**Classical Stokes' Theorem.** Let S be a compact, smooth oriented surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with finitely many smooth boundary curves.

Let **n** be the unit "outward" normal vector field along S, and T the unit tangent vector field along  $\partial S$ .

Let V be a smooth vector field defined on an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  which contains S.

Then

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} (\nabla imes V) ullet \mathbf{n} d(area) = \int_{\partial S} V ullet T d(length).$$

A B K A B K